

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/572,680	03/20/2006	Hideki Tomozawa	Q77727	4411	
23373 7590 10/24/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N.	HO, HOANG QUAN TRAN			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2818		
	•		<u></u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action					
Before	the Filing of an Appeal E	3rief			

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/572,680	TOMOZAWA ET AL.	TOMOZAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Hoang-Quan Ho	2818			

		, <b>.</b>					
	Hoang-Quan Ho	2818					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian-	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba iidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	<del>_</del>						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	hs of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS	·						
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld							
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Office Action</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and							
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	-				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Office Action.</u></li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 3. Other:							

Part of Paper No. 20071018

Application/Control Number:

10/572,680

Art Unit: 2818

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment dated October 12, 2007 is acknowledged. Currently, claims 1 – 16 are pending in light of the amendment, in which claim 1 was amended, no claim was cancelled, no claim was withdrawn, and no claim was added have not been entered of record.

Regarding Applicant's amendment to adding the new limitation "single" into line 2 of claim 1, the Examiner still contests that the claimed invention is still anticipated or rendered obvious by the prior arts. With respect to the limitation "a single continuous light-permeable first layer", the Examiner would like to point out to the Applicant that the preamble of claim 1 includes the transitional term "comprising", which does not limit the claim(s) to only the recited limitation(s) thus allowing additional components. See for example, MPEP § 2111.03 Transitional Phrases [R-3]. Second half of 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph. Thus, even if Chen shows in fig. 7 that ref. char. a, "Au-rich", are made up of plurality of islands, the prior art still reads on the claim, since claim 1 allows for other plurality of islands to coexist. Therefore, Applicant has failed to place the application in condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 2818

Page 3

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Quan Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-8711. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Loke can be reached on (571) 272-1657. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HQH/ Hoang-Quan Ho Junior Examiner October 18, 2007

Andy Hugh Brimany Examine